

Were Regional comments on draft increment modeling proposal addressed?

Responses from Regions 2 – 10 and National Park Service (NPS) as of 11/14/07

Comments on draft proposal were provided in December 2006.

Proposal citation: 72 FR 31372-31399, June 6, 2007

"Prevention of Significant Deterioration, New Source Review: Refinement of Increment Modeling Procedures; Proposed Rule"

The proposal would change PSD regulations at 40 CFR §51.166 and §52.21

Region(s) / Agency Commenting on Issue in Increment Modeling draft proposal

Issue and Proposal	Comment not Addressed	Comment Addressed
Status of NSR Workshop Manual: explicit withdrawn as guidance	R4,R6, NPS	(none)
Class 1 FLM variance: exempt from cumulative analysis	R4, R9, R10, NPS	(none)
Data to estimate "actual" emissions: State discretion using menu of principles	R2, R4, R6, R9, R10, NPS	(none)
Time periods to estimate emissions: State discretion	R2, R3, R4, R5, R8, R9, R10, NPS	(none)
Emissions for short-term increments: annual emissions are acceptable	R3, R4, R5, R6, R7, R8, R9, R10	(none)
Prognostic meteorological data evaluation: suggests rigor, solicits comment	R7, R10	(none)
Particular years of met. data required: State determines appropriateness	R10	(none)
Proprietary model input data: need not all be available to public	R2, R4, R7, R8, R9, R10, NPS	(none)