

Joel MacAuslan <JoelM@s-t-a-r-corp.com>

12/13/2003 03:09:39 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Mabel E. Echols OMB_Peer_Review/OMB/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: Comment on proposed peer review regulation

Dear Dr. Schwab:

I urge you to withdraw the proposed Bulletin, "Peer Review and Information Quality".

As a scientist often subject to peer review, I am well familiar with both its merits and its limitations. As a citizen, I am concerned that this Bulletin offers very little specific justification for itself. I am particularly troubled that, as an OMB document, it offers no cost/benefit analysis, especially including the costs to the public of delaying beneficial regulations while performing these additional peer reviews.

If there are indeed substantial public benefits from additional peer reviews, OMB should consult closely with the broader scientific community before instituting any peer-review regulations.

Sincerely,
Joel MacAuslan, PhD
President and Chief Science Officer

--

STAR Research Services / Speech Technology & Applied Research Corp.
Bedford, MA 01730
v: 781-861-STAR (7827) f: 800-230-8572
<http://www.STARspeech.com>

- OMB Peer Review.doc

Speech **T**echnology -nd **A**ppplied **R**esearch Corp.
54 Middlesex Tpk., Bedford, MA 01730
781-861-STAR (7827) Fax: 800-230-8572

2002/5/5

Dr. Margo Schwab
Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs
Office of Management and Budget
725 17th Street, NW
New Executive Office Building, Room 10201
Washington, DC 20503

Dear Dr. Schwab:

I urge you to withdraw the proposed Bulletin, "Peer Review and Information Quality".

As a scientist often subject to peer review, I am well familiar with both its merits and its limitations. As a citizen, I am concerned that this Bulletin offers very little specific justification for itself. I am particularly troubled that, as an *OMB* document, it offers no cost/benefit analysis, especially including the costs to the public of delaying beneficial regulations while performing these additional peer reviews.

If there are indeed substantial public benefits from additional peer reviews, OMB should consult closely with the broader scientific community before instituting any peer-review regulations.

Sincerely,

Joel MacAuslan, PhD
President and Chief Scientific Officer